

Prologue

Man changes, has changed, and will change throughout many years, and evidently, we as beings of this Earth have reformed physically, mentally, ideologically, politically, and socially. What we have concluded is that, throughout the ages, government and the formation of political systems contrary to the one we abide by is simply human nature. Human nature is what we as beings naturally may become through aspects such as outer social influences, experiences such as events, and the beliefs in religions or faiths that influence politics.

To sum this up, revolution is the inevitable policy in the mentality of any political group's opposition. Rather than leave this off abruptly, allow me to explain. Let's observe Athens, Greece, in the 6th century BCE. Cleisthenes, democratic visionary, established the world's first democracy in the Greek city-state of Athens. Today, Athens is the birthplace of government, philosophy, and is a great contributor to the "Giant Political Bear." Unfit with aristocratic policies, the great minds of Athens banded together to abolish aristocracy, (the privilege of only wealthy people/nobles,) and brought forth a system that paved way for government in a time not in their near future, but society's present in this year of 2016.

Pericles, believer in the policies of Cleisthenes, served as statesman of Greece. Pericles assumed office in the golden age of the city, throughout a period from 454-429 BCE. Throughout his time, Pericles served as a model for many elected leaders in centuries to come for his philosophical knowledge, beliefs that had the people's approval, and his leadership qualities.

This goes to show that revolutions occur if it has the support of the people. Observe the American government today. We, as American citizens, live in an age of terror, political disarray, and are subjects of a Federal Republic system with two main parties, the democrats and republicans. This is just a basic reminder, so I won't preach primary school civics. Let's dig deeper.

The year is circa 1620 AD. Pilgrims embarked upon North America seeking independence from their unfair rule in England seeking to build a new home for generations to come. Their vision was built upon one that sought a movement for the people. Now, the year is the second half of the year 1776 AD. The American colonies have drafted the Declaration of Independence, and have declared the document to be put in place. Through blood and iron, after a six-year-long war the English begrudgingly sign a peace treaty with the French colonials and government, who aided the Americans, and the Americans themselves.

The nation's first shot at a constitution, The Articles of Confederation, make the nation plummet due to its poor policies that prohibit the government from practicing and abiding by the very laws it legislated. In 1787, on September 17th, the members of the Constitutional Convention sign the new American constitution. Firm believers in the prosperity of our nation before all else, (excluding possibly some allies like the French,) these men wrote the bill of rights, (which paved way for the USA's current liberties such as the right to bear arms and freedom of speech,) and put this document into place for the nation's "ideal government." The government at the time was good. We were trading with England, once a great enemy, (and at the time, an enemy as well,) and the pilgrims succeeded in their efforts after what seemed to be an eternity- 167 years of progress. Even in times of America's greatest struggle, like the Great Depression, inspirational figures who aided our progress live on as legends of yesterday, and heroes of this day. This includes Theodore Roosevelt, a great nationalistic and environmentalistic leader of great insigh, and John F. Kennedy, who promoted American optimism and the shaping of a better tomorrow. Surprisingly to me, both of these great men were democratswhich made me think of just how much their party has changed. Without any further obstructions, allow us to progress to *The Economy*.

The Economy

Flash forward to 2016- and a LOT has changed. Before I ramble on without you, the reader, knowing why it's crucial for you to read on, allow me to explain. We must learn almost every aspect of our government to help improve the system. The development of our personal beliefs can lead to something great, which is why I'm writing this. American citizens like myself are no longer filled with great optimism, but rather, with a longing for a leader who not only has decency for his damn country, but also possesses the qualities, morals, intelligence, and policies of a nationalist leader. Ever since 2008, for instance, nationalism has deteriorated. Barack Obama of the Democratic Party has become the Karl Marx, (an analogy for a founder of political parties,) of America's new Federal Republic. We no longer stand proud, grinning upon the horizon as the Sun sets in a land of economic prosperity, but in a land of limited progression and a GDP growth about 2.2%/year. We sit no longer in billions of dollars in debt, but now an estimated amount tens-of-trillions, projected to be ~\$19 trillion at the end of Fiscal Year 2017

This brings up the question, "what could our current deficit be?" Well, we suspect our debt is just that previous number. This is a matter of nationwide importance, because the democrats of this country don't seem to be doing anything about it. Mind you, the democrats make up the majority of Congress, with our President in the oval office, and more than half of both the Senate and HoR members as democrats. This means, that as a collective number, the democrats are likely to be voting "yay" on bills drafted in our Congress that are reinforcing Obamacare, rather than rightfully revising it. The exploitation that parasitic excuses of "citizens" reap from Obamacare is not what we as American taxpayers should be paying for. We are paying for many things that our original constitutional signers would not have agreed with in the slightest, as should any rational, non-parasitic citizen should, either. The fact that today's government is distorting the rightful views of our founders and

those visionaries of one-party systems is unacceptable, and likewise, should seek some form of civil revision.

One-party government will be spoken of in the next chapter, so we shall stick to the economy for now. Secretary Clinton, Democratic Nominee of 2016 in our elections, seeks to reinforce Obamacare, and she can do this by vetoing any bills that Congress is unlikely to pass in favor of new healthcare as it is. Since Obamacare is so dynamic, let's have a rather large discussion here. Among Obamacare's worst flops is how, and I quote, from obamacarefacts.com, "Insurance companies must cover sick people, and this increases the cost of everyone's insurance. To ensure people don't just buy coverage when they need it, most people must obtain coverage or pay a per-month fee." This is quite burdensome to the American taxpayer, because we as citizens of this nation are not paying only for ourselves and many others for education or welfare, but now for one another's healthcare. Yes, friends and family. Those tax increases are going to the millions of parasites who reap what you sow from income, and then your income is tossed into the economic incinerator that is our government's system of **reformable** healthcare. Our economic systems can be reformed. Capitalism can't, but we, as American citizens, can bring change to our government's healthcare if we wake up and make the right choices in elections.

Boroughing deeper into Obamacare, after estimations on how insurance increases, tax increases, and governmental manipulability are negatively impacting our economy, we can presume that our healthcare needs some change. One of Obamacare's decent policies include how many more people can now afford healthcare, but that allows people who don't contribute to the economy or our society to have affordable services that we have to pay for. We can reform Obamacare to make it work only for its contributors, but it is unfair that we as American citizens should pay for each other's healthcare. This isn't just because I don't quite like socialism, (which the democrats are un-Americanly taking a preference to,) but also due to how the people stand against it along with how countries use it for "justified theft." We as the people should be paying less for others when it comes to healthcare, and rather focus on our own health-wise burdens.

As cruel as it sounds, America mustn't cater to people who aren't doing work for our nation. Veterans who can't work, handicapped citizens, and mentally-impaired people are exempt from this policy of mine. Why should we pay for someone to get cheap, high-quality healthcare, just to then benefit off of *welfare*, misuse Obamacare, buy life-impairing drugs, and burn money by soaking themselves in such poor things like major alcoholism and gambling? Alcohol-consumption and gambling under fair self-moderation while working for your nation is completely ok, but if someone is wasting the American taxpayer's hard-earned money on themselves, not only selfishly, but unjustified whilst not working, is not. Reform can come by, again, making the right choices. Vote for the right people, do your research so you benefit both yourself and country in the long run, and be a good citizen-work, because that's what generations of the past and present have done/are doing.

How can we see to it that *welfare*, now, is paying for people like thugs and criminals to fund their own illicit operations? The answer is; we shouldn't, but our government is. I simply cannot comprehend how this has not been majorly brought up in this year's elections, and I am sure you are feeling the same. It is quite simply shameful that the government will not bring up a matter as crucial as this and mark it to be reformed. Welfare is unfairly taking the tax money of each and every non-welfare-engaged citizen and allowing people such as poor criminals, thugs, parasitic citizens, and people who don't do anything for our country, (even if they can,) to have a subpar economic life. This must be completely redone. We must stop funding crime in this country, by establishing background checks or some form of evaluation to make sure the government and we as people who pay for this system are indeed funding the poor for a good cause rather than for the downfall of every single person who is both a contributor and recipient in this country.

Briefly, rather than imprisoning some punk with a glock who is too cowardly to kill, we should educate them to take a liking to, you know, legal things in life. We should imprison them too for illegal activities, yes. But rather than shove them outside of the prison gates as the same person they were upon entry, I think it makes sense that a

rehabilitation system funded by the government is needed especially places in California where prisons are packed to the brim.

Alas, we arrive at student loans, and the beliefs of Sanders and Clinton (college-wise.) Sanders and Hillary Clinton want to increase the unjust amount of socialism that you and I are so harshly burdened with. The Democratic Party seeks to increase our taxes even higher, so now we can pay for people to attend college and university. The only time I would ever find this system justified is if the student receiving money to go to school is committed to their country, community, economy, society, and is either studying in a major course such as engineering, political science, or a study that is majorly beneficial to the economy. Even still, this would have to be paid for by the school itself, which is why scholarships exist- to promote the education of tomorrow's prodigies. I don't believe it's fair that the American people should be subject to a tax increase in a situation where someone is studying nonsense such as gender studies, that don't contribute an ounce of money to our economy, but rather takes from it and makes people believe that there are more than two genders, and teaches people that there is oppression. Hint to the wise: there isn't any.

I believe, along with many others, that Donald Trump is America's best choice in this year's elections. He seeks to reform Obamacare, support our brave veterans and troops with fair wages, wants to combat unjust tax increases from socialism, and wants to promote nationalism to put out issues first. To me, his best policy is his border stance. It's incredibly refreshing to finally see a candidate who is nationalistic enough to proudly proclaim, "a nation is not a nation without borders." This was one of Trump's arguing points when he opposed Clinton's ideas of increased refugee acceptance and open borders. He also seeks to combat *globalism*, one of the foundations of Obama's excuse of a nation. It will be elaborated upon in the next chapter.

The Democratic Party's policies on minimum wage are not ideal for the American people. Average minimum wage is ~\$7.50/hour, which while not great, should not be increased to \$12/hour, which is what some Democrats believe. Some even believe that there should be a pay of

\$15/hour! Imagine the huge amount of money our businesses would lose? They would not be pleased, and may take their corporations to another country. We simply cannot cripple America's corporations that supply minimum federal wage for work. To start off, let's observe what people do in a minimum wage job. You go to a minimum wage job during high school and early college for a slight financial boost. This is a good amount of income for people of that age, but we have people above the age of 25 who have dropped out of high school, work at a minimum wage job for their primary income, use welfare and Obamacare, and can still barely live. By increasing their wages, we hurt the taxpayer yet again, which I am trying to provide compromise for. This is why people need education, it is among one of the many reasons. We cannot bring down the middle/wealthy class so that minimum-wage-workers can live not only poorly, but in an unideal state for every last member of our economy. Economy has been wrung out, let's move to *Ideologies*.

Ideologies

Ideologies today are not as widespread as they once were (there are much less today.) Democracy, constitutional monarchies, (which are basically democracies with a nearly powerless Royal Family,) and the giant Communist bear, China, are all widespread/well-known ideologies. Other ideologies are either abolished because of democracy's policies or because the people "grew out of" the system. Democracy in the USA was sustainable up until we've had leaders such as Obama come into office, not represent the non-majority voters, (which can be a huge problem,) and create economic systems that do not have the support of the people, or at least the people who know what's best for the nation. An example of this is my explanation of how we can abolish the exploitation that Obamacare doesn't seem to fix, and set forth a reformed health system. It's getting redundant now, so I'll stop mentioning it unless necessary.

It seems quite un-American of me to denounce democracy, but the only good democracy I've ever seen is either Ancient Greek or long-gone. Good democracy existed in the days of informed voters who knew which leader was fit not only for themselves, but for nearly all 300,000,000+ other Americans. Leaders voted into office like this include, again, JFK and FDR, two inspiring people who sparked eras of change in their time. The role of our government is to ensure that its people are safe, educated, healthy, peaceful, and cooperative. This leads me to one of my crucial points in this argument against the Federal Republic, and that is the two-party system.

Rather than republicans and democrats both working together for what's best for our nation, they're now both at one another's throats, taking the word "United" to describe our nation and transforming it into "Divided." In today's society, this nation is made up of millions of

misguided voters, and that is fact. Millions of voters, surveys and studies will show, do either A, know little about major issues a candidate discusses and how they'll combat it, or B, even a single one of their damn policies. There are voters who dash to the polls and vote "democrat" because they are influenced by other misguided people, or people who slander the republican party.

I'm going to keep my bias at a minimum for this topic exclusively, but evidently through elections, back in the 20th century, democrats were the majority party in the nation for good reason. Democrats had policies that didn't compromise us as the taxpayers, they possessed education and class, experience, and were not completely against republicans. This was ideal for the type of government we need to unify the people of the United States of America. It is believed by me that in this era, we were most "United." Parties were merely a difference in policies, but in this year of 2016, we as democrats or republicans differ so heavily in nearly every aspect imaginable. This was the ideal Federal Republic, which while fantastic, *can be replaced with a better system if it truly gains the support of our peoples across the country*.

Any anti-Federalists nowadays are hollered at for being "filthy extremists," but bear with me and the people who support this movement. Rather than have a divided Congress with two parties who slow one another down, why not have a Political Party that has democratic **AND** republican policies? I understand that democrats and republicans exist to have the best of both sides of our nation- but the two-party system does not work now, due to the sheer amount of conflict that exists in the nation between these two parties.

Democracy and Federal Republic nations are failing you, the citizens, if there are two parties. Some people are content with these governments because they either have power, or do not know how truly manipulable we as the average citizen(s) can be. Governments around the globe manipulate their citizens, but to add on top of that, we manipulate each other to believe horrible lies about a candidate in elections. Not to mention that our founding fathers didn't even know what Political Parties were. The parties were an invention of the corrupted system, evidently.

The conflict that arises from this two-party system is due to the severe amount of disagreements the two organizations have. Democrats do not want to reform things like welfare or Obamacare, thinking it is a good system. They would have taken action by now. Republicans want to see that change, although that doesn't make them a great party, but rather, the best of the two.

Plato, a Greek philosopher who was born in Athens, in 428 BC, had a brilliant vision for a republic. At least, an ideal republic. Plato devised a system of government by which citizens were appointed to be leader and called to leadership based on who, in the country, has the best leadership qualities. I, for one, love this system, but finding a fantastic leader among 300,000,000 people is quite tough. This would be a challenge to do in the United States.

That would be an ideal system. Which system would be ideal for all Americans, you may ask? Well, take into account the insane amount of ideologies that are faintly heard of today, mostly buried in the ground brought forth by the clutches of democratic views. To start off, we have *Communism*. You know the good 'ol system the Soviets "prospered" with? It's Communism. This government allows for the government to have complete control over the people. In special cases, the government exploits the people they seek to "benefit" by even starving them! Overall, this system mainly allows for the thieving of any citizens' money if they do not have as much money as the working class. This means that no person may do any better (financially) than any other person in the working class.

Communism is well out of the question. I've never taken any kindness to this system, and don't seek to any time soon. There always is a democracy I've designed in this book, and although it would be a much better alternative to the Federal Republic, we can't just replace the USA's government. Or can we? With the support of the people, we can set forth changes to our government that can establish a time of prosperity, due to how intellectual voters shall replace uninformed ones and things such as healthcare will be very challenging to exploit. This system would be

ideal, but it would need a lot of support, like I've said! I cannot stress enough just how important it is for us to inform ourselves on politics. As Pericles once proclaimed, "Just because you do not take an interest in politics does not mean politics will not take an interest in you." This quote describes a large sector of this epiphany of mine and of friends', which we can agree with, will most definitely be a great thing for this country.

Stepping into a new government will be tough only if we do not educate the people on just how truly important politics can be. We mustn't stand by idly as our higher-ups exploit you and I with vast corruption and bad decisions for this land of opportunity. Based on the many reasons as to why politics will find you, even if you do not find it, I see no reason that tells us that we should not educate the public on the true importance of politics.

It's time for us to start questioning all of the things I've explained so far. "Why hasn't this changed? Why aren't our voices heard?" Well, that's simple! This nation is so large that every vote is just a number to 99% of modern politicians. This is truly inevitable, however. The issue with this is that we are seen as a statistic rather than people who want to reform their government for the benefit of their nation. We have to truly bring the collective voices of every person who seeks benefit in the USA to the national stage. We must mobilize movements that set forward our insight on the beauty of our nation. More people will be able to know about this if we start to enact nationwide political education beyond middle school civics.

It is mind-boggling just divided we are in the USA. Hillary supporters and Trump supporters are actually physically assaulting one another because of our differing views. There was actually a homeless woman that was in Hollywood, standing on Donald Trump's acting star in Hollywood. She was a Trump supporter trying to get liberals to stop vandalizing the star, but she was pushed on the ground and assaulted by the savage bastards who don't seem to have a grain of decency. There's far too much controversy to go on and rant about, so I'll stay away from it. Now, we move on to *Diplomacy and the World Stage*.

Diplomacy and the World Stage

There exist many, many nations. In this world, there are 195 nations that each bear their own flag, culture, lands, (most have) a military, and some official language. Some nations are tiny, like San Marino, the world's last living full-on republic in Italy, and some are monumental, like Russia. Some nations boast huge militaries and have parades just to show off all of their newest technology, newest amount of soldiers, and might. China, for example, has 2,333,000 men fighting for their country. There are 510,000 people in reserves. Our military has about half the amount of active men, sitting around 1,281,900 people on active duty. Our reserves boasts a large amount of men, however, sitting at 801,200. (Results gathered October 31st, 2016. American military size from FY 2017.)

Does this decide whether a country is stronger than another? No. Numbers have a lot to do with military, but if we were to ever, (God forbid,) go to war with China, it would require our nation's utmost attention, funds, and greatest military tech to face such a huge amount of troops. Numbers can mean the difference between victory and defeat, but we have a very strong and up-to-date military. Today's foreign affairs staff in our nation can mean the difference between war and peace, and

that is the issue at hand. This is one of the many reasons why our leaders should refrain from aggravating war.

At the time of this work's writing, it is October 31st as I type these words, and it is estimated that Hillary Clinton will win the presidency. What does this mean for the diplomatic side of the USA? Well, Russia, who likes to show off their guns, does not have a definite number of troops. However, http://www.globalfirepower.com says that there are about 3 million total men in both the field and reserves. They're technology is advanced and their nuclear program is excellent. This is a huge problem. We cannot have a president posting pro-Russian-war on her Twitter page to lead this nation. Hillary Clinton vocally supports drafting many, many women. That is not the problem- the problem is where they're going, and it's Russia. It is astonishing how there are some people who seek to aggravate war with someone we should be neutral towards so we may first wipe out terror before we wipe ourselves out.

We as politicians should never aggravate war with such a massive power. Whose idea was it to embrace a nuclear conflict, and why the hell would people vote for this person? Why do we allow people being investigated by the FBI to serve as the nation's commander-in-chief? There's an answer, and it's called "corruption." FBI higher-ups have all the power in the whole country to get whomever they please in trouble for the right or wrong reason, but they're letting Clinton slip right through.

A leader of such significance must possess some admirable qualities, whether they be inspiration, strength, compassion, courage, morals... whatever helps the nation and its people work for the benefit of every person in these lands. Leaders who lie through their teeth and succumb to a mere bribe so that they may further the corruption of this destroyed "republic" must have action taken against them. That's not my bias as someone who supports rightist politics- that's my right to have a beneficial system of government for my country regardless of whether I'm leftist authoritarian, rightist authoritarian, leftist libertarian, or rightist libertarian. It is for these reasons and dozens more to come why the people of our crumbling democracy should rise up and strive for the rightful greatness of as many Americans at once.

Wholeheartedly and truly, a good leader and a nation with a will/desire to succeed or progress have a perfect chemistry. If this leader sets forth the influence that citizen agree with, his supporters will help their country just as we should be doing right now.

While we're on this general topic, let's discuss immigration, shall we? We have quite the sizable border with Mexico- spanning hundreds and hundreds of miles. This border costs the US millions per year- this is due to border patrol, infrastructure along the border, anti-cartel personnel, and the people who slip past the border into the country illegally are leeching off of the economy. There are citizens of Mexico, (rarely) Canada (that in truth brings a lot of methamphetamine to the country,) Syrian refugees who turn out to be terrorists, and many more. The country that gives us the majority of these problems is Mexico.

This economy is collapsing in on itself because we are letting hundreds of illegal/unwanted (financially) immigrants or refugees into this nation. Along with members of the EU, we are a dumping ground for both neutral and evil refugees, immigrants, terrorist attacks, and rumored border disputes. Not only does NATO (Northern Alliance Treaty Organization) have to worry about ISIS and such, but now we have to worry about the people they're shoving at the gates of our nations.

There are many, many attacks that source from these refugees. Not to mention, immigrants in the United States are easily eligible for low-cost or free healthcare. The actual verified citizens of this country are not. Our tax dollars go to these disputes and it is costing the whole nation money. It does not help anyone except for the people who get the free healthcare. These countries that are dumping grounds are losing money, civilization, democratic elements that we were "promised," and liberty.

The dust of the 2016 election has settled, and it is November 11th, at 1:03 AM. Donald Trump will be in the Oval Office on January 20th of 2017, Inauguration Day. Congress has also become majority republican, which is good because we need it to back Donald Trump so he may do well in his efforts. I'm very proud of my nation's decision and I'm sure that this has lead up to my final conclusion. So, What is the job of a nation?

The job of a nation is to unite the people who believe in its cause under one beautiful banner. Why not globalism, you may ask? It's simple. People have different opinions, and thus, nations are born with a large group of people influenced by a leader(s) to believe in some ideologies. Some of these current-day ideologies include Communism, Democracy, Constitutional Monarchy, and Conservative Democracy. Which is the best, you ask? You must ask yourself that question. Communism is good for those who want to screw over the people who worked hard for their fortune. Democracy screws over the minority, and sometimes, the minority is right.

Constitutional Monarchy is a cause for those who have a family represent their traditions, and a minister to represent their diplomacy. Lastly, conservative democracy is a cause for those people who want to see society progress under one Democratic Party. This is the case based on the beliefs of some people.

What did we leave out, you ask? Well, they don't exist in our world today. It's fascism, and other nationalist movements. Our society is in a state of doubt. Our society slanders fascism and nationalism on a daily basis, calling it "evil." They call these beliefs evil, meanwhile, they believe in things such as a cause that seeks to destroy those who work hard, but seem to be doing too well. "They're doing too well! Bring them down and pay those who don't work!" Ladies and gentlemen, may I present to you, the liberal socialist.

These individuals would like to see to it that people who are in university or college have their tuitions paid for, and likewise, they'll vote for Bernie Sanders. "Oh," you may exclaim. "THAT'S AWESOME!" Well, it would be. But to all good things, there's a catch. The taxpayer would be paying for people to go to school for things that may not contribute to our society, economy, or society's progression. These include arts history, gender studies, and most of all, biased education that leads to these poor choices of society's "ideal" ideology. In a non-biased way, obviously, some ideologies are in fact better than others

From what this paper says, it is quite clear that socialism isn't a good system. At all. An ideal ideology would be some type of

government that appoints a leader(s) from the public after talking with the public and discussing which of the peoples' views and ideals would best benefit that nation's society. Is there an abundance of poverty in a nation? Address it, and make sure it's taken care of. Simple. Preventing votes, or limiting them, allows for a stable system. We can't let people who don't do anything for society but are able to vote. Because sometimes, society can kill itself. We can't have society kill itself if it seeks to progress. Through order, we shall have liberty, and through liberty, we shall have order, for it is the average citizen that wields the weapons and powers to shape a better tomorrow.

How would it work? Let the educated, intellectual public vote on a matter and debate it. Involve the citizens with their government more. The leader who is appointed by the head council, (which is a hereditary system where each chair seat is passed down when a chair member can no longer serve,) and the council picks the absolute best interest. This leader will spark the debates, the changes that will be addressed in the nation, and will have the qualities of a good leader to make sure the nation prospers. That's an abstract system... one that hasn't been brought up yet. It's up to the citizens of our country(ies) to shape a land for the betterment of the nations' societies, so then, when perfected, we may work together as an alliance of people of the same beneficial ideologies. This was a review of what I've said before with some more detail and less real-world information.

I pray that you shape a better tomorrow with me, the American people, and the rest of our nation as we embark upon a new era.